

April 8, 1994

LB 345, 658, 1044, 1087

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 1834-35 of the Legislative Journal.) 30 ayes, 2 nays, Madam President, on the advancement of LB 1044.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: LB 1044 advances. We will pass over LB 961 at this time and move on to LB 1087, but I should let senators know we are planning to work through the noon hour today. We will not be taking a break for lunch today, so we are planning to work through the noon hour.

CLERK: Madam President, 1087 on Select File. I have no E & R, Senator, but I do have...the first motion I have is by Senator Lindsay. Senator Lindsay would move to bracket the bill.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, members. I'd like to ask Senator Coordsen, I think he's the primary sponsor...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes.

SENATOR LINDSAY: George, if you could give me some idea of the background, the reason why we need 1087 and where it comes from and all that kind of stuff.

SENATOR COORDSEN: All right, Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: And I can tell you, in ten minutes or less because I think that's (inaudible).

SENATOR COORDSEN: Okay, okay. LB 1087 comes to us because last year there was a bill introduced, LB 345, that was to correct a Department of Revenue interpretation of how sales tax was collected on installation labor. LB 345 was subsequently amended to LB 658, and then 658 was passed. After we adjourned, the Department of Revenue took a look at the language that was passed in 658 and said, no, the language wasn't right, that, in fact, all after market installations of anything were...the labor was, in fact, liable for sales tax collection, so 1087 was introduced then to...in cooperation with the Department of Revenue to correct the language problems that they had with 658 as it was enacted last year.